### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

D۸	ICE	7 T T	
PA	IUE	ו בו	JU,

Plaintiff,

v.

TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION, a Japanese Corporation, TOYOTA MOTOR NORTH AMERICA, INC., and TOYOTA MOTOR SALES, U.S.A., INC.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:04-CV-211-DF

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

#### PAICE LLC'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANTS' COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant Paice LLC ("Paice"), by and through the undersigned attorneys, hereby files this Answer to the Counterclaims of Defendants Toyota Motor Corporation, Toyota Motor North America, Inc., and Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc. (collectively, "Toyota"). The headings and numbered paragraphs below correlate to those in Toyota's counterclaims.

#### **COUNTERCLAIMS**

35. Paice admits that Toyota has asserted counterclaims, but is without sufficient information to admit or deny the remainder of this paragraph, and therefore denies the same.

### UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,343,970

- 36. Paice admits that Toyota has denied infringement and challenged validity, but Paice denies that Toyota's infringement and validity averments are true and correct.
- 37. Admitted.

### **UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,209,672**

- 38. Paice admits that Toyota has denied infringement and challenged validity, but Paice denies that Toyota's infringement and validity averments are true and correct.
- 39. Admitted.

## UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,554,088

- 40. Paice admits that Toyota has denied infringement and challenged validity, but Paice denies that Toyota's infringement and validity averments are true and correct.
- 41. Admitted.

## First Counterclaim—Declaratory Judgment of Noninfringement

- 42. Denied.
- 43. Denied.
- 44. Denied.

#### **Second Counterclaim—Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity**

- 45. Denied.
- 46. Denied.
- 47. Denied.

WHEREFORE, Paice denies that Toyota is entitled to any relief sought, requested or otherwise, and prays that this Court enter judgment ordering the same.

#### Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 19, 2004

### By: /s/ Sam Baxter

Samuel F. Baxter (Bar No. 01938000) McKOOL SMITH P.C. 505 East Travis Street, Suite 105 Marshall, Texas 75670 (903) 927-2111 (903) 927-2622

#### Of counsel:

Ruffin B. Cordell (Bar No. 04820550) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K Street, N.W., 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20005 (202) 783-5070 (phone) (202) 783-2331 (facsimile)

Robert E. Hillman FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110 (617) 542-5070 (phone) (617) 542-8906 (facsimile)

Andrew D. Hirsch, Esquire PAICE LLC 6830 Elm Street McLean, VA 22101 (703) 288-9471 (phone) (703) 288-9474 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Plaintiff PAICE LLC

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

A true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served on the following counsel via the Court's ECF system or by U.S. Mail on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2004:

Nicholas H. Patton Patton, Tidwell & Schroeder, L.L.P. 4605 Texas Blvd. P.O. Box 5398 Texarkana, Texas 75503

George E. Badenoch John Flock Kenyon & Kenyon One Broadway New York, NY 10004-1050

/s/	Sam Baxter	•
/ 13/	Dani Dance	